# APPENDIX A. Annual Report of the Code of Student Conduct and Disciplinary Procedures (2020-21)

## Submitted by Prof. Robin Beech, Dean of Students Glenn Zabowski, AssociateDean of Students

### OVERVIEW

The <u>Code of Student Conduct and Disciplinary Proced</u>(1) W/SK H <sup>3</sup>) & SRaG inhibitoriant policy at McGill: it outlines the responsibilities of students for both academic and condemic matters. It is a policy that contains definitions about misconduct, but also details a full disciplinary process, and nappeal process.7 K H & R G H H Q V X U H V V W & Costs @rev V ¶ U L J K W V W maintained and is a frequently used policy at McGill.

The mandate of the committee on Student Discipline (CSD)s role, and membership, and so embedded within the Code the committees a tribunal rather than a policy committee; it functions when convened to consider disciplinary cases get to its attention. The policies administered by CSD are normally brought to Senate, after appropriate consultations by the Dean of Students OTHe dusiness of the CSD7.69 470.95 Tm [(503Th4 ET BT 10 10)-3(Da)6(re)-172.02

7 K H 'L V F L S O L Q D U \ 2 IO HIRHUU Q V MIROE FIHV HIRKEDKO V W X G H Q W ¶ V V L V be treated as such. Regular meetiaged trainingof all the Disciplinary Officers and members of the CSD help ensure that there is a common understanding of how the Code should be interpreted anvalued constitutes appropriate sanctions. The Office of the Dean of Students servess a resource to students, Disciplinary Officers and to members of the CSD regarding matters related to the Code.

#### AGGREGATE CASE STATISTICS FOR THE 2020-2021ACADEMIC YEAR

This AnnualReport includes all discipline cases formally addressed during the last academic year at McGill Univesity. 420 students participated in the scipline process last academic year. Some students were involved in more than one **academic** were involved in interim orders (exclusion / cease desist communication) which did not result in a disposed define than one article of the Code may be cifed somecases

Statistical Overview of Disciplinary Cases forSept. 1, 201

ACADEMIC OFFENCES

# CASES REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON STUDENT DISCIPLINE

### COMMENTS BY THE OFFICE OF THE DEAN OF STUDENTS

Normally, since 2013 4, atypical academic yearrould see 200-300 cases with a disposition. In part due to the COVID 9 pandemic and mainly remote course deliver the distribution of cases has shifter duch more towards nearcademic cases Also, as all ded to earlier in the UHSRUW ZKLOH DFDGHPLF FDVHV UHPDLQHG VWHDG\ WKH contest option necessitated bois ciplinary Officer workload issues. There were 223 formal academic discipline cases in 2020 21 compared with 243 in 2019 20 and 201 in 2018 - 19. The number of decisions involving plagiarism we were than the year before (33 versus 165 in 2019 20) and the number of decisions involving cheating increas 66 from 72 in 2019 20.

There arealways fluctuations yearly in the number of cases dit is essential that the community uses the Code of Conduct when there is a possible violation of an academica cademic article of the code as this ensures there is a fair process for students.

Of the cases in 2020-21, 292 were from Student Housing? were from Arts, 37 were from Engineering,32 from Science30 from Continuing Studies,nd23 from Graduate and Postdoctoal Studies The remaining cases are distributed amonthe other Faculties Schools Normally 1 in every5 of the cases involve graduate students, however last academic year skewed by repeated violations of COVID9 regulations inundergraduate Residences and remote course delivery, about 5% of cases involved graduate students.

Exonerations, in which it is deemed there is a lack of oteraryincing, and reliable evidence, accounted for 29.7% of academic offence decisions and 7% of non-academic decisions these are similar to past years definition about one four or five of all decisions result in an exoneration, which is an encouraging sign of a fair and robust process.

There were 363 admonishments in the discipline process in 20-21 compared to 226 in 2019-20. There were 13 reprimands in the discipline process in 20-21 compared to wo in both 2018-19 and 201920. Unlike admonishments eprimands are an official disciplinary record, held by the Dean of Students Officted this does not show up on a transoript official disciplinary record may impact K H V W X G H Q W ¶ V H O L J L E L O L W \ I R U P H P E H organizations or government or civil service positions, or acceptance to certain academic institutions or programs. Thus, a reprimand is a potentially ch more serious outcomend thus is reserved for the most serious cases. Disclosures of disciplinary records are done only with the consent of the student.

A total of 295 decisions of conduct probatition periods of varying dur or 21

#### CONCLUSION AND THANKS

Service as a Disciplinary Offer (Appendix E) and as a CSD member (Appendix F) demands an important investment of time by the staff and students appointed to these positions. Many thanks to all for their attention to detail and to approved procedures, and for the respectful environme maintained in all disciplinary matters. These are demanding roles that involve using a range of tools and strategies maintain order and assume principles of accemic integrity are respected. This alsocludes the application of the Code to balance the rights of complainants, persons under allegation and the wider community of the Code to balance the rights.

The disciplinary process allows for the student to be accompanied by an advisor, who must be a member of the McGill cmmunity and not paid for the servic the Office of the Dean of Studentswould like to draw special attention **Student** Advocacy This longstanding student run organization provides advisors specifically to assist students through the disciplinary process. The service provided by StudeAdvocacy is exemplary and many that the for their continued dedication.

Many key issues in our community are played out throthgholiscipline system, such as, academic integrity during remote course delivence, limits of freedom of expession new and changing technologyD Q G W K H H [UNVIVE Gaity/ F RR IQ WHKH[IWhere does McGill University have jurisdiction, and where does it not? Staff and students are on the frontline of these key debates and they make difficult decisions durily basis that can have consequences not only for individuals, but for our community as a whole. Much appreciation to all those involved

AREA	DISCIPLINARY OFFICERS
	Prof. Michael Hilke, Dept. of Physics Prof. Jérôme Vétois Dept. of Mathematics & Statistics Prof. Rosalie Belange Rioux, Dept. of Mathematics & Statistics Prof. Bradley Siwick Dept. of Chemistry
Schulich School of Music	Prof. Douglas McNabneyAssociate Dean (Academic and Student Affairs
Residences	Residence Life Manages (RLMs) Lei Liang Warren Haydock Gillan Latour Assistant Residence Life Manag <b>(ARLMs)</b> Logan Kinghorn Karman Jeji Other: Lindsay O'Connell Residence Ife & Accommodations Manager at Macdonald Campus Andrew Persons Assistant Manager, Athletics and Recreation Sonia Smith Libraries